hn H. Chung (pro hac vice) HITE & CASE LLP 55 Avenue of the Americas ew York, NY 10036 elephone: (212) 819-8200 csimile: (212) 354-8113  hristopher M. Curran (pro hac vice) risten J. McAhren (pro hac vice) HITE & CASE LLP 1 Thirteenth Street, NW ashington, DC 20005 elephone: (202) 626-3600 csimile: (202) 639-9355	
torneys for Toshiba Corporation, Toshiba obile Display Co., Ltd., Toshiba America ectronic Components, Inc. and Toshiba nerica Information Systems, Inc.	
UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFO	DRNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
I	
RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) NTITRUST LITIGATION	Master File No. 3:07-md-1827 SI MDL No. 1827
nis Document Relates To:  ase No. 3:11-cv-711 SI  CATE OF NEW YORK, by and through, RIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN, Attorney General, Plaintiff,  v. U OPTRONICS CORPORATION, et al. Defendants.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING THE TOSHIBA ENTITIES' TIME TO ANSWER AMENDED COMPLAINT

WHEREAS Plaintiff State of New York filed an Amended Complaint in the above-captioned case against Defendants AU Optronics Corporation, AU Optronics Corporation America, Inc., Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corporation, Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc., CMO Japan Co., Ltd., Hitachi, Ltd., Hitachi Displays, Ltd., Hitachi Electronic Devices (USA), Inc., LG Display Co., Ltd., LG Display America, Inc., Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., Samsung Semiconductor, Inc., Sharp Corporation, Sharp Electronics Corporation, Toshiba Corporation, Toshiba Mobile Display Co., Ltd. (f/k/a Toshiba Matsushita Display Technology Co., Ltd.), Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc., and Toshiba America Electronic Components, Inc. on March 15, 2011, Dkt. No. 2556;

WHEREAS the Court granted in part and denied in part Defendants' motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint on August 9, 2011;

WHEREAS the State of New York and Toshiba Corporation, Toshiba Mobile Display Co., Ltd., Toshiba America Electronic Components, Inc. and Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc. (collectively, the "Toshiba Entities") have reached an agreement, pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-1, to extend the time within which the Toshiba Entities must answer the Amended Complaint; and

WHEREAS the requested time modification will not affect any other deadline in this case.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and among the

undersigned counsel, on behalf of their respective clients, New York State and the Toshiba Entities, as follows:

1. The Toshiba Entities will have until September 23, 2011 to answer the Amended Complaint.

## Case3:07-md-01827-SI Document3604 Filed09/16/11 Page3 of 4 1 2. Entering into this stipulation does not effect a waiver of any defense under 2 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12. This stipulation does not constitute a 3 waiver of any challenge to personal jurisdiction by the Toshiba Entities. 4 5 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 6 7 WHITE & CASE LLP Dated: September 14, 2011 8 9 By: /s/ John H. Chung John H. Chung (pro hac vice) 10 WHITE & CASE LLP 1155 Avenue of the Americas 11 New York, NY 10036 Telephone: (212) 819-8200 12 Facsimile: (212) 354-8113 jchung@whitecase.com 13 Christopher M. Curran (pro hac vice) 14 Kristen J. McAhren (pro hac vice) WHITE & CASE LLP 15 701 Thirteenth Street, NW Washington, DC 20005 16 Telephone: (202) 626-3600 Facsimile: (202) 639-9355 17 18 Attorneys for Toshiba Corporation, Toshiba Mobile Display Co., Ltd., Toshiba America Electronic 19 Components, Inc. and Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc. 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1	Case3:07-md-01827-SI Document3604 Filed09/16/11 Page4 of 4
1	ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN
2	Attorney General of the State of New York
3	By: /s/ Richard L. Schwartz
4	Richard L. Schwartz Acting Bureau Chief, Antitrust Bureau
5	Amy McFarlane
6	Assistant Attorney General 120 Broadway, 26 <sup>th</sup> Floor
	New York, NY 10271
7	Phone: (212) 416-8282 Facsimile: (212) 416-6015
8	richard.schwartz@ag.ny.gov
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff State of New York
10	
11	
12	Attestation: The filer of this document attests that the concurrence of the other signatories
13	thereto has been obtained.
14	
15	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.
16	TORSCANT TO STIT CLATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.
17	Dated: 9/15, 2011
18	The Honorable Susan Illston United States District Judge
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER EXTENDING